UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Luis Enrique Escalante-Soto Case Number: 11-6433M
present and	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on August 12, 2011. Defendant was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the defendant pending trial in this case.
	FINDINGS OF FACT
	eponderance of the evidence that:
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custon Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and his substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.
The at the time	Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Couthe hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correction appeal. The of the Unite	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a constates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT I deliver a co Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distr
IT I Services su	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretr iciently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
DA	TED this 15 th day of August, 2011.
	10 mg
	David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge